1 2 3 4 5 6	COOLEY LLP TRAVIS LEBLANC (251097) (tleblanc@cooley.com) KRISTINE A. FORDERER (278745) (kforderer@cooley.com) ANUPAM DHILLON (324746) (ADhillon@cooley.com) 3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: +1 415 693 2000 Facsimile: +1 415 693 2222	
7 8 9 10	TIANA DEMAS (pro hac vice) (tdemas@cooley.com) 110 N. Wacker Drive, 42nd Floor Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: +1 312 881 6500 Facsimile: +1 312 881 6598	
11 12	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE LLC  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13		
14 15		
16 17	MISAEL AMBRIZ, JIMMY NIMMO, CHRISTOPHER BISSONNETTE, AHMAD	Case No. 3:23-cv-05437-RFL  (Proposed) Order Granting Joint
18 19 20 21	MEHDIPOUR, EUGENE ERLIKH, JAMES FOX, and PETER SAMISH, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO (1) CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED AND (2) CONSOLIDATE CASES
19 20 21 22	MEHDIPOUR, EUGENE ERLIKH, JAMES FOX, and PETER SAMISH, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO (1) CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE
19 20 21	MEHDIPOUR, EUGENE ERLIKH, JAMES FOX, and PETER SAMISH, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  GOOGLE LLC,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO (1) CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE
19 20 21 22 23	MEHDIPOUR, EUGENE ERLIKH, JAMES FOX, and PETER SAMISH, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  GOOGLE LLC,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO (1) CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE
19 20 21 22 23 24	MEHDIPOUR, EUGENE ERLIKH, JAMES FOX, and PETER SAMISH, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  GOOGLE LLC,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO (1) CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	MEHDIPOUR, EUGENE ERLIKH, JAMES FOX, and PETER SAMISH, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated,  Plaintiffs,  v.  GOOGLE LLC,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO (1) CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO, CA

Having reviewed the Parties' Joint Administrative Motion to (1) Consider Whether Cases		
Should be Related and (2) Consolidate Cases (the "Motion"), the concurrently filed Declaration of		
Kristine Forderer, and the relevant law, th	ne Court hereby finds as follows:	
1. The above-captioned matter, <i>Ambriz v. Google LLC</i> , No. 23-cv-05437 (N.D. Cal.)		
and the action styled Barulich v. Google, LLC, No. 24-cv-06225 (N.D. Cal.), meet the definition of		
related cases provided by Civil Local Ru	ule 3-12(a). The Court accordingly GRANTS Google's	
Motion and DEEMS RELATED the Amb	priz and Barulich actions.	
2. The Ambriz and Barulich	actions are appropriate for consolidation pursuant to	
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a)(2)	because they involve common questions of law and fact	
and because the interest of judicial conv	venience achieved through consolidation outweighs any	
potential for delay, confusion, or prejudi	ice. The Court accordingly GRANTS Google's Motion	
and hereby CONSOLIDATES the Ambria	z and Barulich actions.	
3. Plaintiffs shall file a consolidated amended complaint within 14 days of the entry of		
this order.		
4. Google shall file its response to the consolidated amended complaint within 35 day		
of the filing.		
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	M	
Dated: September 30, 2024	//	
	HON. RITA F. LIN	
	United States District Judge	